United States District Court

WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

JNITED STATES OF AMERICA			ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Mamoudou Bathily (Case Number: <u>1:08 MJ 315</u>
acts re	In a quire	accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f) the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	, a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following
	(1)	offense state or local offense that would have been jurisdiction had existed – that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal (a)(4).
		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta The offense described in finding (1) was committed whi or local offense. A period of not more than five years has elapsed since imprisonment for the offense described in finding (1). Findings Nos. (1),(2) and (3) establish a rebuttable pres	d been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described te or local offenses. le the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state the date of conviction release of the defendant from sumption that no condition or combination of conditions will d the community. I further find that the defendant has not
		☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	as committed an offense vears or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act ished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions
X	(1) (2)	Alternate Fir There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appea There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger	r.
		Part II – Written Statement	of Reasons for Detention
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establish by a preponderance of the evidence that			
elig and kno per plat offic	ible for the and the second in	or citizenship because of his marriage to a woman in Texalso claims to be living with one or more women in Grand e child's name. He also claims to have a child in Minnesot ting massive credit card fraud by identity theft. He claims and claims to live in Grand Rapids as well. His possession	999. His immigration status is unclear. Although he claims to be as, there is no evidence that he has lived in Texas in the recent past, Rapids. He says that a child was born of the marriage, but does not a. The evidence indicates that defendant supports himself by to live and work in New York, yet he drives a car with Minnesota is well outstrip the income that he admits to. When he was arrested, ictim's name but defendant's picture. Literally nothing that defendant ntly false on its face.
appeal. he Uni	ons f The ted S	facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons a e defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity fo	General or his designated representative for confinement in a swaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending reprivate consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the
			seph G. Scoville
Date		Signa	ture of Judge
		Joseph	oh G. Scoville. United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title of Judge